

Physical solution of 1D quantum N -boson system with zero-range pair interaction

Wenhua Hai, Guishu Chong, Qiongtao Xie

*Department of physics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, China**

The mathematically exact solution of a one-dimensional (1D) quantum N -identical-boson system with zero-range pair interaction has been well known. We find that this solution is non-physical, since there exists a paradox of its energy expectation value, leading a basic contradiction to the mean-field theory of the system. A new integral equation that is equivalent to the corresponding Schrödinger one is established and its formally physical solution is derived. Energy correction from the average potential and harmonic waves of different momentums are demonstrated, and scattering amplitude of the physical solution and mean-field theory of the system are discussed.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ge; 03.65.Nk; 05.30.Jp; 11.80.Fv

The quantum integrability is an essential property of quantum mechanics and field theory. A kind of non-trivial integrable Hamiltonian operators, which describes some systems consisting of N identical non-relativistic particles interacting pairwise through some forms of potentials has been generally demonstrated by using different methods [1]-[5]. The forms of potentials contain the zero-range infinite pair interaction [6]-[8] and the long-range finite pair interactions [9]-[11]. Although the integrable models may not be exactly solvable, the exactly solvable models are included by them. Much interest have been focused on the exactly solvable models, because of their applications to the Bose-Einstein condensations [12]-[15], quantum Yang-Baxter equation [4], quantum spin chains [16], [17], random matrix theory [18], fractional statistics [19], [20], Yang-Mills theories [21], [22], quantum Hall liquids [23], [24], soliton theory [25], and the black holes [26].

The infinite zero-range pair interactions can be expressed as the δ function potentials, which approximate some practical short-range interactions and seem to be easier for mathematical treatment than the latter. However, singularity of the δ function may bring us some new troubles that lead to non-physical results. This can be shown through the simplest example, the Schrödinger equation $H\psi = -\frac{1}{2}\psi_{x_r x_r} + c\delta(x_r)\psi = E\psi$ in atomic unit and with the δ function potential $c\delta(x_r)$, where x_r denotes the relative coordinate of two particles, $\psi(x_r)$ represents the relative wave function, $c > 0$ is the zero-range interaction intensity and E the eigenenergy of the Hamiltonian H . The standard quantum mechanical book [27] had mathematically given its general solution as the linear combination of plane waves, $\psi = ae^{ikx_r} + be^{-ikx_r}$ with $k = \sqrt{2E}$ and a, b being constants determined by the normalization and boundary conditions. This result had also been extrapolated to N -body zero-range pair interaction problems [4]-[12] and been recently applied to few-particle Bose-Einstein condensates [28]. However, there is a paradox of the energy expectation value in this mathematical solution. Noticing that the function

$e^{\pm ikx_r}$ denotes the state of a free particle, in this state the average kinetic energy is equal to the total energy and the average potential is $\langle \psi | c\delta(x_r) | \psi \rangle = c|\psi(0)|^2$. The nonzero average potential leads to the paradox of average energy, namely the energy value may be $\langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle = E$ or $\langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle = E + c|\psi(0)|^2$. Both results are in agreement only for the identical-fermion system with $\psi(0) = 0$. While for an N -identical-boson system with $\psi(0) \neq 0$ the mean-field theory of second quantization is based on the average Hamiltonian and therefore there exists basic contradiction from the above result. Although adopting the finite short-range interaction [14], [29]-[31] instead of the infinite zero-range interaction can avoid the physical confusion, the Gross-Pitaevskii's nonlinear Schrödinger equation comes from the application of the zero-range interaction, which has been successfully used to treat the Bose-Einstein condensates [13]-[15]. This means existence of the physical solution of 1D N -boson system with zero-range pair interaction.

In the previous works [32], [33], we established and employed an integral equation to find the complete information implied in Schrödinger's quantum systems. Applying this idea to write down an new integral equation of the zero-range pair interacting system and seeking its formally physical solution to eliminate the contradiction on energy expectation value are our main motive in this paper. In the physical states, the energy correction from the pair interaction and harmonic waves with different momentums are investigated, and the scattering amplitude and self-consistent field theory of the system are discussed.

We commence with N slow identical bosons propagating in x direction and assume that the pairwise interaction consists only of a hard core of 1D diameter. For small diameter the system is conveniently treated as impenetrable point particles with the delta-function pseudopotential $c\delta(x_j - x_i)$, where $c > 0$ is the interaction strength, x_i, x_j for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are the coordinates of particles i and j . The stationary state Schrödinger equation pertinent to the system reads as [4]-[8]

$$-\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi + c \sum_{i \neq j} \delta(x_j - x_i) \psi = E\psi \quad (1)$$

*Electronic address: adcve@public.cs.hn.cn

with m and E being the mass of a single particle and the energy of the whole system respectively. Save for the collisions, which occur only for $x_i = x_j$, the previous works treated the system as N free particles and constructed its exact plane wave solution mathematically [4]-[8]. Obviously, the above-mentioned paradox of energy expectation value exists in this solution. In order to seek the physical solution without the paradox, we must count in energy correction from the pair interaction and solve this equation by using a new approach.

Under assumption of the pairwise interaction and impenetrability of particles, the exchange symmetric wave function can be expressed as [4], [5]

$$\begin{aligned}\psi &= \sum_p \psi(x_{p_1} \cdots x_{p_N}) \prod_{\text{odd } i} \theta(x_{p_{i+1}} - x_{p_i}) \\ &= \sum_p \prod_{\text{odd } i} \phi(x_{p_{i+1}}, x_{p_i}) \theta(x_{p_{i+1}} - x_{p_i}).\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

Here $x_{p_1} \cdots x_{p_N}$ denotes a permutation of $x_1 \cdots x_N$, $\sum_p$ represents sum of all the permutations, $\theta(x_{p_{i+1}} - x_{p_i})$ is the step function satisfying $\theta(x_{p_{i+1}} - x_{p_i}) = 1$ for $x_{p_{i+1}} > x_{p_i}$ and $\theta(x_{p_{i+1}} - x_{p_i}) = 0$ for $x_{p_{i+1}} < x_{p_i}$, and $\phi(x_{p_{i+1}}, x_{p_i})$ is the wave function of two particles. To form whole particle pairs, we take the large number N as an even number and approximate [13]-[15] $N \pm 1$ to the even N . The exchange symmetry of the identical bosons requires $\phi(x_{p_i}, x_{p_{i+1}}) = \phi(x_{p_{i+1}}, x_{p_i})$. Substituting Eq. (2) into Eq. (1), we get the Schrödinger equation of two-boson wave function [4], [28]

$$-\sum_{j=i}^{i+1} \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_{p_j}^2} + c\delta(x_{p_{i+1}} - x_{p_i})\phi = E_i\phi, \quad (3)$$

where E_i is the energy of two particles, obeying $\sum_i E_i = E$ for $i = 1, 3, 5, \dots, N-1$. Introducing the mass-center and relative coordinates

$$x_{ic} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_{p_{i+1}} + x_{p_i}), \quad x_{ir} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_{p_{i+1}} - x_{p_i}) \quad (4)$$

to Eq. (3) and setting

$$\phi(x_{p_{i+1}}, x_{p_i}) = \phi_{ic}^{(p)}(x_{ic})\phi_{ir}^{(p)}(x_{ir}), \quad E_i = E_{ic} + E_{ir}, \quad (5)$$

we obtain the equation of relative motion

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2 \phi_{ir}^{(p)}}{dx_{ir}^2} + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}} \delta(x_{ir}) \phi_{ir}^{(p)} = E_{ir} \phi_{ir}^{(p)} \quad (6)$$

and the mass-center motion equation. In the calculation, the formula $\delta(x_{p_{i+1}} - x_{p_i}) = \delta(\sqrt{2}x_{ir}) = \delta(x_{ir})/\sqrt{2}$ has been employed. The mass-center equation is that of a free particle with eigenenergy E_{ic} and eigenstate $\phi_{ic}^{(p)} = a_i e^{ik_{ic}x_{ic}} + b_i e^{-ik_{ic}x_{ic}}$, where a_i and b_i are undetermined constants and $k_{ic} = \sqrt{2mE_{ic}}/\hbar$.

The corrected energy from the delta potential is of the order $c|\phi_{ir}^{(p)}(0)|^2$, which is set as E'_{ir} , so we have

$$\begin{aligned}E_{ir} &= E_{ir}^{(0)} + E'_{ir}, \quad k_{ir}^2 = 2mE_{ir}/\hbar^2 = k_{ir}^{2(0)} + k_{ir}'^2, \\ k_{ir}^{(0)} &= \sqrt{\frac{2mE_{ir}^{(0)}}{\hbar^2}}, \quad k_{ir}' = \sqrt{\frac{2mE'_{ir}}{\hbar^2}}, \quad c' = \frac{\sqrt{2}mc}{\hbar^2}.\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

The corresponding momentum reads as $\hbar k_{ir} \approx \hbar[k_{ir}^{(0)} + k_{ir}'^2/(2k_{ir}^{(0)})]$. Inserting them into Eq. (6) yields a simplified equation, then following Ref. [32] leads to the new integral equation

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{ir}^{(p)} &= Ae^{ik_{ir}^{(0)}x_{ir}} + Be^{-ik_{ir}^{(0)}x_{ir}} - \frac{i}{2k_{ir}^{(0)}} \\ &\times \left\{ e^{ik_{ir}^{(0)}x_{ir}} \int_C e^{-ik_{ir}^{(0)}x_{ir}} [c'\delta(x_{ir}) - k_{ir}'^2] \phi_{ir}^{(p)} dx_{ir} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - e^{-ik_{ir}^{(0)}x_{ir}} \int_D e^{ik_{ir}^{(0)}x_{ir}} [c'\delta(x_{ir}) - k_{ir}'^2] \phi_{ir}^{(p)} dx_{ir} \right\},\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

where A , B , C and D are undetermined constants obeying $C < 0$, $D < 0$. Applying Eq. (8) to Eq. (6), we can directly prove the agreement between them. Particularly, the relative wave function $\phi_{ir}^{(p)}$ in Eq. (8) does not satisfy the free particle equation such that the above paradox of energy expectation value is avoided. Completing the integrations in Eq. (8), we get its formally exact solution as

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{ir}^{(p)} &= \theta(x_{ir}) \left\{ \left[A + \frac{c'\phi_{ir}^{(p)}(0)}{2ik_{ir}^{(0)}} + \frac{ik_{ir}'^2}{2k_{ir}^{(0)}} \int_C e^{-ik_{ir}^{(0)}x_{ir}} \phi_{ir}^{(p)} dx_{ir} \right] e^{ik_{ir}^{(0)}x_{ir}} + \left[B - \frac{c'\phi_{ir}^{(p)}(0)}{2ik_{ir}^{(0)}} - \frac{ik_{ir}'^2}{2k_{ir}^{(0)}} \int_D e^{ik_{ir}^{(0)}x_{ir}} \phi_{ir}^{(p)} dx_{ir} \right] e^{-ik_{ir}^{(0)}x_{ir}} \right\} \\ &+ \theta(-x_{ir}) \left\{ \left[A + \frac{ik_{ir}'^2}{2k_{ir}^{(0)}} \int_C e^{-ik_{ir}^{(0)}x_{ir}} \phi_{ir}^{(p)} dx_{ir} \right] e^{ik_{ir}^{(0)}x_{ir}} + \left[B - \frac{ik_{ir}'^2}{2k_{ir}^{(0)}} \int_D e^{ik_{ir}^{(0)}x_{ir}} \phi_{ir}^{(p)} dx_{ir} \right] e^{-ik_{ir}^{(0)}x_{ir}} \right\},\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

where $\phi_{ir}^{(p)}(0)$ is the value of $\phi_{ir}^{(p)}$ at $x_{ir} = 0$. Applying

Eq. (9) and the above-mentioned $\phi_{ic}^{(p)}$ to Eq. (5), then to

Eq. (2), we obtain the formally exact solution of the N -identical-boson system. The formal solution, of course, is still an integral equation, since $\phi_{ir}^{(p)}$ appears in the integrations of Eq. (9). It is worth noting that the integral equation (9) completely describes the continuity of $\phi_{ir}^{(p)}$ and discontinuity of $d\phi_{ir}^{(p)}/dx_{ir}$ caused by the singularity of delta potential.

When the constants k'_{ir} , A and B are taken as $k'_{ir} = 0$,

$$A = B + \frac{ic'}{2k_{ir}}\phi_{ir}^{(p)}(0), \quad B = A - \frac{ic'}{2k_{ir}}\phi_{ir}^{(p)}(0) \quad (10)$$

with $k_{ir} = k_{ir}^{(0)}$, Eq. (9) becomes the well-known solutions [4], [5]

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{ir}^{(p)} &= \theta(x_{ir}) \left[B e^{ik_{ir}x_{ir}} + A e^{-ik_{ir}x_{ir}} \right] \\ &+ \theta(-x_{ir}) \left[A e^{ik_{ir}x_{ir}} + B e^{-ik_{ir}x_{ir}} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

which implies

$$\phi_{ir}^{(p)}(0) = A + B. \quad (12)$$

The selection to A and B in Eq. (10) is because of the requirement of exchange symmetric Eq. (11), $\phi_{ir}^{(p)}(x_{ir}) = \phi_{ir}^{(p)}(-x_{ir})$. Solving Eq. (10) and Eq. (12) yields

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{ic'}{k_{ir}} \right) \phi_{ir}^{(p)}(0), \quad B = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{ic'}{k_{ir}} \right) \phi_{ir}^{(p)}(0). \quad (13)$$

Applying this to Eq. (11), the normalization of $\phi_{ir}^{(p)}$ gives $\phi_{ir}^{(p)}(0) \propto 1/\sqrt{L}$ with L being the length of motion region

adjusted by the boundary conditions [4]. Obviously, for the plane wave solution (11) the average kinetic energy equates the total relative energy so that the nonzero average potential leads to the paradox on energy expectation value. Only for an infinite L the average potential $c|\phi(0)|^2$ vanishes and the contradiction does not exist. It is the non-zero energy correction E'_{ir} that helps us to overcome the difficulty on energy expectation value for the case of finite motion region.

When $k'_{ir} \neq 0$ is set, Eq. (9) cannot be exactly solved. However, it allows existence of the infinite series solution in terms of plane waves of different wave vectors. The series expansion leads the terms proportional to $k_{ir}'^2$ to contain the harmonic waves of various momentums, which are generated by the pair interactions.

For $k_{ir}^{(0)} \gg k'_{ir}$, we can treat the terms proportional to $k_{ir}'^2$ as perturbation and construct approximate solution of the equation easily, through the unperturbed solution (11). This requires $c|\phi_{ir}^{(p)}(0)|^2 \sim E'_{ir} \ll E_{ir}^{(0)}$, since E'_{ir} is the corrected energy from the average potential. Note that only for small c or big L (small $\phi_{ir}^{(p)}(0)$), it is valid, because of the small E'_{ir} . In fact, simply inserting Eq. (11) into left hand side of Eq. (9) to replace $\phi_{ir}^{(p)}$, we immediately arrive at the perturbed solution [32], [33]. Noticing $k_{ir} \approx k_{ir}^{(0)} + k_{ir}'^2/(2k_{ir}^{(0)})$, for simplicity we let k_{ir} in denominator of Eq. (13) be equal to $k_{ir}^{(0)}$ approximately. To avoid resonance, this approximation does not be used for the exponential functions in Eq. (11). The perturbed solution then reads as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{ir}^{(p)} &= \theta(x_{ir}) \left\{ \left[1 + e^{ik_{ir}'^2 x_{ir}/(2k_{ir}^{(0)})} - e^{ik_{ir}'^2 C/(2k_{ir}^{(0)})} \right] B e^{ik_{ir}^{(0)} x_{ir}} + \left[1 + e^{-ik_{ir}'^2 x_{ir}/(2k_{ir}^{(0)})} - e^{-ik_{ir}'^2 D/(2k_{ir}^{(0)})} \right] A e^{-ik_{ir}^{(0)} x_{ir}} \right\} \\ &+ \theta(-x_{ir}) \left\{ \left[1 + e^{ik_{ir}'^2 x_{ir}/(2k_{ir}^{(0)})} - e^{ik_{ir}'^2 C/(2k_{ir}^{(0)})} \right] A e^{ik_{ir}^{(0)} x_{ir}} + \left[1 + e^{-ik_{ir}'^2 x_{ir}/(2k_{ir}^{(0)})} - e^{-ik_{ir}'^2 D/(2k_{ir}^{(0)})} \right] B e^{-ik_{ir}^{(0)} x_{ir}} \right\} + O(k_{ir}'^2), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where A and B obey Eq. (13) with $k_{ir} = k_{ir}^{(0)}$, $O(k_{ir}'^2)$ denotes the terms proportional to $k_{ir}'^2$. The exchange symmetry of $\phi_{ir}^{(p)}$ infers $e^{ik_{ir}'^2 C/(2k_{ir}^{(0)})} = e^{-ik_{ir}'^2 D/(2k_{ir}^{(0)})}$, namely $-k_{ir}'^2(C+D)/(2k_{ir}^{(0)}) = 2n\pi$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. The terms containing $k_{ir}'^2$ give perturbed correction to unperturbed solution (11) that includes two different waves with wave vectors $k_{ir}^{(0)}$ and k_{ir} respectively.

To simplify the discussions, we rewrite Eq. (14) as $\phi_{ir}^{(p)} = \phi_{ir}^{(0)} + \phi'_{ir}$ with $\phi_{ir}^{(0)} = \phi_{ir}^{(p)}|_{k'_{ir}=0}$, and let H_{ir} be the Hamiltonian associated with Eq. (6). Thus the constant $\phi_{ir}^{(p)}(0)$ is determined by the normalization of

$\phi_{ir}^{(0)}$, while the relation among k'_{ir} , $k_{ir}^{(0)}$ and c can be give by $\langle \phi_{ir}^{(p)} | H_{ir} | \phi_{ir}^{(p)} \rangle = E_{ir}^{(0)} + E'_{ir}$, no paradox of the energy expectation value occurs. Therefore, the solution (14) is physically correct one. Noticing $\langle \phi_{ir}^{(0)} | H_{ir} | \phi_{ir}^{(0)} \rangle = E_{ir}^{(0)} + c|\phi_{ir}^{(0)}(0)|^2/\sqrt{2}$, we have the corrected energy

$$\begin{aligned} E'_{ir} &= \frac{\hbar^2 k_{ir}'^2}{2m} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}} |\phi_{ir}^{(0)}(0)|^2 + \langle \phi'_{ir} | H_{ir} | \phi_{ir}^{(0)} \rangle \\ &+ \langle \phi_{ir}^{(0)} | H_{ir} | \phi'_{ir} \rangle + \langle \phi'_{ir} | H_{ir} | \phi'_{ir} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Clearly, only for infinite L , $\phi_{ir}^{(0)}(0) = 0$, Eq. (15) allows $E'_{ir} = 0, k'_{ir} = 0$ such that $\phi_{ir}^{(p)} = \phi_{ir}^{(0)}$, Eq. (11) becomes

exact solution of the integral equation (9).

Given the physical solution (14), the scattering amplitude can be approximately obtained. We apply $k_{ir}^{\prime 2} \approx 0$ and Eq. (13) to Eq. (14), resulting in the scattering amplitude

$$S(k_{ir}) = \frac{A}{B} = \frac{k_{ir} + ic'}{k_{ir} - ic'} \approx \frac{k_{ir}^{(0)} + k_{ir}^{\prime 2}/(2k_{ir}^{(0)}) + ic'}{k_{ir}^{(0)} + k_{ir}^{\prime 2}/(2k_{ir}^{(0)}) - ic'}, \quad (16)$$

which is similar to the known result [4]-[8]. This similarity infers that we can directly extend this result of two-particle problem to N -boson system.

It is impossible, of course, to seek perturbed solution of the integral equation (9) for large average potential that necessitates the mean-field approximation. After eliminating the paradox on energy expectation value, the contradiction implied in mean-field theory of 1D N -boson system will be avoided. In fact, adopting Eqs. (2) and (9), the average Hamiltonian of second quantization reads as $\langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle$ with H including the kinetic energy and internal pair interaction, even external potential. Differing from the plane wave solution, the formally exact solution (9) does not identify the average kinetic energy with the total average energy and allows existence of the nonzero average potential thereby. Thus the well known mean-field theory is valid for the zero-range pair interacting system that means the wave function obeying a nonlinear Schrödinger equation [12]-[15].

In summary, we have investigated the 1D quantum N -boson system with infinite zero-range pair interaction. The paradox of energy expectation value was found for the plane wave solution previously reported in some ar-

ticles. The integral equation (8) that is completely on an equality with the corresponding Schrödinger equation was established and was applied to construct the formally physical solution (9) without the paradox. The approximate solution and energy correction from the nonzero average potential were detailed, and the scattering amplitude, harmonic waves of various momentums and mean-field theory of the system were discussed simply.

The method and results of this work are useful for treating any quantum system with the singular delta potential. For instance, in the case of a delta potential well with $c < 0$, the relative energy E_{ir} is negative and the "wave vector" $k_{ir} = i|k_{ir}|$ is imaginary. Inserting these into Eqs. (8) and (9) and selecting suitable constants A , B , C and D to satisfy the boundedness conditions as in Ref. [32], we can directly construct the bound state solution of the system with nonzero energy correction and without any paradox. It is straightforward to extrapolate the results to the 1D system with combined potential of the pair interaction and harmonic trap, which has often been employed to treat the Bose-Einstein condensates. It is worth noting that in the bound states the integral equation (8) has no physical exact solution even for infinite motion region that necessitates approximate and numerical solutions. The quantum many-body problem is a source of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation [4] that is worth the further investigations.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the NNSF of China under Grant No. 10275023, and by the LMRAMP of China under Grant No. T152103.

-
- [1] A.P. Polychronakos, Phys. Rev. Lett., 69, 703(1992).
 - [2] D. Bernard, M. Gaudin, F.D.M. Haldane and V. Pasquier, J. Phys. A26, 5219(1993).
 - [3] M.L. Ge and Y.W. Wang, Phys. Rev. E51, 2919(1995).
 - [4] M.L. Ge and K. Xie, *Yang-Baxter Equation*, (Shanghai Sci. Tech. Press, Shanghai, 1999) (in Chinese)
 - [5] Edited by Daniel C. Mattis, *Many-Body Problem, The: An Encyclopedia of Exactly Solved Models in One Dimension*(World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 1993)
 - [6] T.D. Lee and C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev., 105, 767(1957).
 - [7] T.D. Lee, K. Huang and C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev., 106, 1135(1957).
 - [8] C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett., 19, 1312(1967); Phys. Rev. 168, 1920(1968).
 - [9] F. Calogero, J. Math. Phys., 10, 2191(1969); 12, 419(1971).
 - [10] B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. A4, 2019(1971); J. Math. Phys. 12, 246(1971).
 - [11] D.C. Ullate, A.G. Lopez and M.A. Rodriguez, Phys. Lett. B511, 112(2001).
 - [12] E.H. Lieb and W. Liniger, Phys. Rev., 130, 1605(1963); E.H. Lieb, Phys. Rev., 130, 1616(1963).
 - [13] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L.P. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71, 463(1999).
 - [14] M. D. Girardeau, E. M. Wright, and J. M. Triscari, Phys. Rev. A63, 033601(2001).
 - [15] A.J. Leggett, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 307(2001).
 - [16] F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett., 60, 635(1988); B.S. Shastry, Phys. Rev. Lett., 60, 639(1988).
 - [17] A.P. Polychronakos, Phys. Rev. Lett., 70, 2329(1993).
 - [18] B.D. Simons, P.A. Lee and B.L. Altshuler, Phys. Rev. Lett., 70, 4122(1993); Phys. Rev. Lett., 72, 64(1994).
 - [19] Z.N. Ha, Phys. Rev. Lett., 73, 1574(1994); Nucl. Phys. B435, 604(1995).
 - [20] S.B. Isakov, G. Lozano and S. Ouvry, Nucl. Phys. B552, 677(1999).
 - [21] J.A. Minahan and A.P. Polychronakos, Phys. Lett. B326, 288(1994).
 - [22] E.D. Hoker and D.H. Phong, Nucl. Phys. B513, 405(1998).
 - [23] H. Azuma and S. Iso, Phys. Lett. B331, 107(1994).
 - [24] S. Iso and S.J.Rey, Phys. Lett. B352 111(1995).
 - [25] A.P. Polychronakos, Phys. Rev. Lett., 74, 5153(1995).
 - [26] G.W. Gibbons and P.K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. B454, 187(1999).
 - [27] J. Zeng, Quantum Mechanics(Science Press, Beijing, 1995), (in Chinese).
 - [28] M. A. Cirone, K. Goral, K. Rzazewski and M. Wilkens,

- J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 34, 4571(2001).
- [29] D. Blume and C. H. Greene, Phys. Rev. A66, 013601 (2002).
- [30] B. D. Esry, C. H. Greene, and J. P. Burke, Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1751(1999).
- [31] P. F. Bedaque, E. Braaten, and H.-W. Hammer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 908(2000).
- [32] W. Hai, M. Feng, X. Zhu, L. Shi, K. Gao, and X. Fang, Phys. Rev. A61, 052105(2000); W. Hai, S.Huang and K.Gao, J. Phys. B36, 3055(2003).
- [33] W. Hai, Chin. Phys. Lett. 15, 472(1998); W. Hai, M. Feng, X. Zhu et al., J.Phys. A32, 8265(1999); W. Hai, X. Zhu, M. Feng et al., J. Phys. A34, L79(2001).